

OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY COMMITTEE

Date: Wednesday, 18 December 2019 Time: 6.00pm

Location: Shimkent Room, Daneshill House, Danestrete

Contact: lan Gourlay (01438) 242703 ian.gourlay@stevenage.gov.uk

Members: Councillors: L Martin-Haugh (Chair), P Bibby CC (Vice-Chair), S Barr,

J Brown, L Chester, M Downing, ME Gardner, S-J McDonough,

A McGuinness, J Mead, S Mead, A Mitchell CC, R Parker CC and C Parris.

AGENDA

PART 1

1. APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE AND DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

2. MINUTES - 11 NOVEMBER 2019 AND 25 NOVEMBER 2019

To approve as a correct record the Minutes of the meetings of the Overview and Scrutiny Committee held on 11 November 2019 and 25 November 2019. Pages 5 – 12

3. PART I DECISIONS OF THE EXECUTIVE

To consider the following Part I Decisions of the Executive taken on 16 December 2019.

Executive Agenda Item		Page No.
2	Minutes of the Executive – 20 November 2019	5
3	Minutes of the Overview & Scrutiny Committee and Select Committees	13
4	Co-operative Neighbourhoods	31
5	Community Centres Review	51
6	Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL)	79
7	Hertfordshire Growth Board Memorandum of Understanding	127
8	Developing a Town Fund Deal	141
9	Corporate Performance Quarter Two	171
10	Council Tax Base 2020/21	209
11	Housing Revenue Account (HRA) Medium Term Financial Strategy (2019/20 – 2023/24) and HRA Business Plan Review	215
12	Draft Housing Revenue Account (HRA) and Rent Setting 2020/21	239

Notice of Decisions to follow

PLEASE BRING YOUR AGENDA AND REPORTS FOR THE EXECUTIVE MEETING HELD ON 16 DECEMBER 2019

4. URGENT PART I DECISIONS AUTHORISED BY THE CHAIR OF THE OVERVIEW & SCRUTINY COMMITTEE

None.

5. URGENT PART I BUSINESS

To consider any Part I business accepted by the Chair as urgent.

6. EXCLUSION OF PRESS AND PUBLIC

To consider the following motions:

- 1. That under Section 100(A) of the Local Government Act 1972, the press and public be excluded from the meeting for the following items of business on the grounds that they involve the likely disclosure of exempt information as described in paragraphs 1 7 of Part 1 of Schedule 12A of the Act as amended by Local Government (Access to Information) (Variation) Order 2006.
- 2. That Members consider the reasons for the following reports being in Part II and determine whether or not maintaining the exemption from disclosure of the information contained therein outweighs the public interest in disclosure.

7. PART II MINUTES - 25 NOVEMBER 2019

To approve as a correct record the Part II Minutes of the meeting of the Overview and Scrutiny Committee held on 25 November 2019.

Pages 13 - 14

8. PART II DECISIONS OF THE EXECUTIVE

To consider the Part II decisions of the Executive taken on 16 December 2019.

Executive Page No. Agenda Item

15 Part II Minutes – Executive – 20 November 2019 259

Notice of Decisions to follow

PLEASE BRING YOUR AGENDA AND REPORTS FOR THE EXECUTIVE MEETING HELD ON 16 DECEMBER 2019

9. URGENT PART II DECISIONS AUTHORISED BY THE CHAIR OF THE OVERVIEW & SCRUTINY COMMITTEE

None.

10. URGENT PART II BUSINESS

To consider any Part II business accepted by the Chair as urgent.

Agenda Published 10 December 2019

STEVENAGE BOROUGH COUNCIL

OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY COMMITTEE - SITTING AS A SELECT COMMITTEE MINUTES

Date: Monday, 11 November 2019

Time: 6.00pm

Place: Shimkent Room - Daneshill House, Danestrete

Present: Councillors: Lin Martin-Haugh (Chair), Philip Bibby CC (Vice-Chair),

Sandra Barr, Jim Brown, Michael Downing, Andy McGuinness, John Mead, Sarah Mead, Adam Mitchell CC, Robin Parker CC and

Claire Parris

Start / End Start Time: 6.00pm **Time:** End Time: 8.00pm

1 APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE AND DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

Apologies for absence were received from Councillors Laurie Chester and Michelle Gardner.

There were no declarations of interest.

2 COLLATED MEMBER RESPONSE TO SELF-EVALUATION SCORING MATRIX

Members considered the collated response to the self-evaluation scoring matrix.

The following points were raised particularly in relation to work programming:

- Timing of site visits should be looked at and evening visits carried out on some occasions to ensure all Members were able to attend:
- Customer Services data was useful but should not be relied upon as a complete picture;
- In terms of the role of Executive Members, although not directly involved in work planning for Scrutiny, it was suggested that it could be useful to ask what topics they feel could benefit from the input of scrutiny;
- The Communications Team should be asked to advise on what is trending on social media;
- In relation to those topics that were not the direct responsibility of the Council
 eg buses and post offices, it was agreed that this continued previous work by
 the Council to ensure local monitoring of public services and the role of
 Members as community leaders;
- Website visits could be an indication of what is was important to local residents;
- It was felt that the Portfolio Holder Advisory Groups were working well but the
 possibility of the Groups being chaired by scrutiny members and not
 executive members should be investigated.

The Scrutiny Officer advised that he would review the content within the matrix in relation to opportunities for improvement and group those comments where there was commonality and identify possible recommendations for consideration by the Committee. Members asked that the scoring system be looked at to make it as transparent as possible.

It was **RESOLVED**:

- That the Scoring Matrix be noted and that the Scrutiny Officer be requested to look at the scoring mechanism to ensure that it was as transparent as possible;
- 2. That the Scrutiny Officer report back to the next meeting with possible recommendations for opportunities for improvement.

3 INTERVIEW WITH FOURTH TIER MANAGERS

Members received responses from 4th tier managers regarding their experience of supporting Scrutiny Reviews. Three of those 4th tier Managers were in attendance at the meeting including the Council's HR Manager, Leisure Services Manager and Environmental Policy and Services Manager. The Strategic Director advised that other senior managers across the Council would also be consulted for their views and responses would be reported back in due course. He stressed that officers welcomed forensic and deep scrutiny and that it was appropriate for Members to challenge how things were working and the status quo.

A number of issues and questions were raised and responses given by the officers including:

- Scrutiny was a Member led process, although often a presentation was given at the beginning of a review to ensure Members received a briefing on the matter of the review;
- The timing of involving the Service officers in the scrutiny reviews, ie during or after the scoping process of a review;
- As officers were aware of what was working and what was not in their service area they could be a source of suggestions for future scrutiny reviews;
- It was important for Members to have a base knowledge of information relating to an area to be scrutinised to ensure a review was effective. The more Councillors knew about a topic the better;
- Some recommendations from reviews were difficult to implement without having the resources available to support them, although it was agreed that resources would potentially not be forthcoming without these recommendations:
- There were a limited number of officers around the Council who had direct experience of scrutiny due to the involvement of mainly tier 4 managers and above;
- The original view of scrutiny was that it should be equal in importance to the Executive. In reality, this was not the case and could be frustrating if the Executive did not appear to give much importance to review outcomes. The process had now changed however and Executive Members were required to

provide a response to scrutiny recommendations within a 2 month period. Recommendations were also now followed up after a longer period of time had elapsed:

- The question was asked regarding a possible return to the pre 2000 Committee system and if decision making would be more effective;
- Some recent scrutiny reviews had been effective and resulted in substantial changes to a service eg the review into damp and condensation where it had been a real struggle to move away from the assumption that the issues were caused by lifestyles rather than inadequate buildings;
- The issue of the Chairs of scrutiny committees being appointed from opposition groups was discussed. It was agreed that it would be more obviously independent if scrutiny Chairs were opposition Members, however the importance of the Chairs being independently minded whatever group they were from was paramount. The outcomes from a review should reflect this independence;
- Previously an all-day session with partners and voluntary and community groups had been arranged to come up with suggestions for subjects to review but this had proved to be time consuming and resource intensive. Now Members were encouraged to engage with these groups and bring back ideas during the work programming process;

In response to a question, the Scrutiny Officer agreed to recirculate his paper which summarised and addressed the main issues on the new Government Scrutiny Guidance. This would form part of an agenda for a future meeting.

It was **RESOLVED**:

- 1. That the 4th tier officers be thanked for their attendance at the meeting:
- 2. That the responses circulated and the comments above be noted and form part of the evidence gathering for the review;
- 3. That the Scrutiny Officer recirculate his paper summarising and addressing the main issues on the new Government Scrutiny Guidance to Members of the Committee and that the paper form part of the agenda for the next meeting of the Committee.

4 INPUT FROM SCRUTINY OFFICERS AT OTHER AUTHORITIES

The Scrutiny Officer reported that he had contacted a number of other local authorities with a view to obtaining a view on the Council's scrutiny arrangements. Unfortunately due to work pressures including the recent calling of the General Election, which most officers were now involved with, no responses had yet been received.

The Centre for Public Scrutiny conference and another scrutiny networking event which were both coming up could provide opportunities to receive feedback and he hoped to be able to feedback further at the next meeting of this Committee.

It was **RESOLVED** that the update be noted.

5 **URGENT PART 1 BUSINESS**

None.

6 **EXCLUSION OF PUBLIC AND PRESS**

Not required.

7 URGENT PART II BUSINESS

None.

CHAIR

STEVENAGE BOROUGH COUNCIL

OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY COMMITTEE MINUTES

Date: Monday, 25 November 2019

Time: 6.00pm

Place: Shimkent Room - Daneshill House, Danestrete

Present: Councillors: Lin Martin-Haugh (Chair), Philip Bibby CC (Vice-Chair),

Sandra Barr, Jim Brown, Laurie Chester, Michael Downing, Michelle Gardner, Andy McGuinness, John Mead, Sarah Mead,

Adam Mitchell CC, Robin Parker CC and Claire Parris

Start / End Start Time: 6.00pm **Time:** End Time: 8.10pm

1 APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE AND DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

Apologies for absence were received from Councillor Sarah-Jane McDonough. Councillor Phil Bibby apologised for lateness.

There were no declarations of interest.

2 MINUTES - 15 OCTOBER 2019

With regard to Future Town Future Transport, the Strategic Director (TP) informed the Committee that officers would provide a briefing note on the status of cycleways in Stevenage.

It was **RESOLVED** that the Minutes of the meeting of the Overview and Scrutiny Committee held on 15 October 2019 be approved as a correct record for signature by the Chair.

3 PART I DECISIONS OF THE EXECUTIVE

The Committee considered the decisions of the following matters arising from the Executive held on 20 November 2019.

Minutes of the Executive - 20 November 2019

Noted.

Minutes of the Overview & Scrutiny and Select Committees

Noted.

Homelessness and Rough Sleeper Strategy

The Assistant Director (Housing & Investment) presented a revised Homelessness Strategy for 2019-2024. The strategy's four priorities would be met through an Action Plan. The Strategy had been revised in line with additional powers and duties introduced by Homelessness Reduction Act 2017 (HRA 17) and requirements of Housing Act 1996.

The introduction of HRA 17 had seen an increase in the number of customers seeking homelessness advice and an increase in the use of bed and breakfast accommodation. The Committee were informed that Housing & Investment officers were working with Housing Development and other Council services regarding market acquisitions and a purpose-built hostel to reduce the use of temporary accommodation. The Council was looking at adopting the "Finland"/ (Housing First) Model of providing homes to homeless people without imposing a condition that they engage in services for addictions or mental health. This model had been piloted in Manchester and local authorities in Hertfordshire.

Members welcomed the Strategy and recommended that former homeless customers be supported to tackle addiction and other underlining causes of homelessness and to learn new skills or get into training, education or work. It was reported that some customers were turning to food banks and convenience food outlets due to the lack of kitchen utensils in temporary and emergency accommodation properties.

In response to questions, the Assistant Director informed Members that:

- Support arrangements for the homeless will be added to the Action Plan
- The HMAB would receive quarterly reports and an annual report on the Homelessness Action Plan
- The Council has a duty to provide kitchen utensils in Emergency accommodation although efforts will be made to support those who do not have these items when they are in Temporary Accommodation
- Benefits of the Homelessness Strategy included a dedicated rough-sleeper worker and an action plan for performance monitoring
- Personalised homelessness plan was one of the requirements of HRA 17 Members sought clarification on partnership working arrangements and the spike in the number of households placed in temporary accommodation between 2014/15 and 2015/16.

Council Tax Support Scheme

The Committee received an update on the proposed Council Tax Support Scheme for 2020/21. The proposals had previously been discussed by the committee in a Portfolio Holder Advisory Group setting. The Assistant Director (Finance & Estates) stated that efforts were directed at the software supplier to design and introduce reliable and accurate automation of Universal Credit change notices.

Council Tax Support Scheme

The Committee received an update on the proposed Council Tax Support Scheme for 2020/21. The proposals had previously been discussed by the committee in a Portfolio Holder Advisory Group setting. The Assistant Director (Finance & Estates) stated that efforts were directed at the software supplier to design and introduce reliable and accurate automation of Universal Credit change notices.

2019/20 Mid-Year Treasury Management Review

The Assistant Director (Finance & Estates) updated Members on the Treasury Management activities in 2019/20 and review effectiveness of the 2019/20 Treasury Management and Investment Strategy. The AD provided clarification on the cash balances. Members welcomed the improved chart of cash balances.

Second Quarter Revenue Monitoring Report 2019/20 – General Fund and Housing Revenue Account

The Committee received an update on the Second Quarter Revenue Monitoring Report 2019/20. The Assistance Director advised that the General Fund net increase to the working budget was £25,000. She highlighted the significant increase in Bed and Breakfast costs arising from the Homeless service related Bed and Breakfast and the fuel cost saving being lower than anticipated in the 2019/20 savings option. These pressures had been mitigated mainly from the release of £159,000 from the Regeneration Asset Reserve and the Insurance provision of £131,000.

The 2019/20 HRA net deficit had reduced by £89,000 and the most significant variances were from rents. These variances were mitigated by the Business Unit restructuring and release of some of the insurance provision. A review into the Council's Voids Procedures had been scheduled.

In relation to paragraph 4.2.2 of the report, Officers were advised that the nearby housing scheme in East Hertfordshire was known as the "Gresley Park" development.

Second Quarter Capital Monitoring Report 2019/20 - General Fund and Housing Revenue Account

Members received an update on the Council's 2019/20 capital programme. The update highlighted the 2019/20 General Fund Capital Programme, Capital Resources General Fund, 2019/20 Housing Revenue Account Capital Programme and Capital Resources Housing Revenue Account. The Assistant Director (Finance and Estates) informed the Committee that the Council was looking at ways of mitigating the impact of Right To Buy (RTB) discounts. Subject to the government's response to the consultation on RTB sales, the Council could end up returning a proportion of the receipts to central government.

In response to questions, the Assistant Director stated that:

- In view of the recently announced increase in the Public Works Loan Board (PWLB) rate, local authorities were considering other borrowing options including inter-authority lending facilities and municipal bonds
- The Council had sought legal advice on property investment opportunities outside the Borough

With regard to the latest award of Local Growth Funding (GD3), the Strategic Director informed the Committee that GD3 funds had not yet been released. Local partners had written to government to confirm that they would meet all the conditions set out by the Secretary of State for Housing, Communities and Local Government. These conditions included working with the Hertfordshire Local Enterprise Partnership (LEP) and other stakeholders to create a new, independent, unincorporated partnership body to oversee the town centre regeneration. The LEP was awaiting the Secretary of State's approval to implement the governance arrangements, appoint an independent Chair and utilise the funds on specific projects including the new Bus Interchange. The delay in the release of the funds does present challenges to the programme but the Council had a commitment to put together plans to utilise the funds before the March 2021 spend timeframe.

4 URGENT PART I DECISIONS AUTHORISED BY THE CHAIR OF THE OVERVIEW & SCRUTINY COMMITTEE

None.

5 URGENT PART I BUSINESS

The Strategic Director (TP) updated the Committee on progress regarding the Towns Deal Fund, development of a Towns Deal Board and Investment Plan. Stevenage was one of the towns across England that had been invited to work with the government to develop innovative regeneration plans. A prospectus for the Towns Deal was published in November 2019. The prospectus explained how towns can prepare for a Towns Deal and it outlined requirements such as the setting up of Towns Deal Boards and investment priorities and project proposals set out in a locally-owned Town Investment Plan. It was confirmed that district and borough councils would have oversight of governance arrangements. Local authorities had the flexibility to identify projects in their areas of responsibility.

The Strategic Director assured Members that Towns Deal Board members would have a duty to avoid conflict of interest. Board members would be expected to adhere to the Nolan Principles of Public Life and a Code of Conduct. There would be an expectation for the Board to disclose interest on any matter and for others to call out suspected conflicts of interest. The Strategic Director indicated that the Towns Deal Board would have an advisory and not executive function.

6 EXCLUSION OF PRESS AND PUBLIC

It was **RESOLVED**:

- 1. That, under Section 100(A) of the Local Government Act 1972, the press and public be excluded from the meeting for the following items of business on the grounds that they involved the likely disclosure of exempt information as described in paragraphs 1 7 of Part 1 of Schedule 12A of the Act as amended by Local Government (Access to Information) (Variation) Order 2006
- 2. That Members consider the reasons for the following reports being in Part II and determine whether or not maintaining the exemption from disclosure of the information contained therein outweighs the public interest in disclosure

7 PART II DECISIONS OF THE EXECUTIVE

The Overview and Scrutiny Committee considered Financial Security Options for 2020/21 - 2022/23.

It was **RESOLVED** that the Financial Security Options 2019/20 – 2021/22 Part II decisions of the Executive be noted.

8 URGENT PART II DECISIONS AUTHORISED BY THE CHAIR OF THE OVERVIEW & SCRUTINY COMMITTEE

None.

9 URGENT PART II BUSINESS

None.

CHAIR

This page is intentionally left blank

Agenda Item 7

By virtue of paragraph(s) 3 of Part 1 of Schedule 12A of the Local Government Act 1972.

Document is Restricted

